

Public Service Commission
State of South Carolina
101 Executive Center Drive, Suite 100
Columbia, SC 29210

RECEIVED

DEC 30 2020

PSC SC
CLERK'S OFFICE

To the Public Service Commission. I want to thank you for choosing to hear my complaint, and that citizens whose rights are violated have a place to voice their concerns.

Duke Energy objects to and asks the commission to strike my testimony. I am against striking my testimony and here is why. From day one when I received the letter that a smart meter would be installed on my residence I have a lot of questions that Duke Energy has failed to answer. If they had done so, even with the last letters they had written to the commission this case may have come to a close. I have yet to get an answer, they point out one thing they don't like another thing they don't like, but when it comes to them breaking the law, they don't bring up anything at all, and kindly ask the commission to sweep everything under the rug.

If an individual is aware for example of drug running using a submarine or child trafficking they contact the FBI. At which point they investigate the matter and take appropriate action. Duke Energy is breaking the law on many counts described in my documents.

If I have said anything harsh like Duke Energy is accusing me, I apologize humbly before the commission. The fact remains that I felt that those 800 plus pages of testimony, accounts, professional statements from people that know exactly what they are talking about was enough. I have more evidence, but my goal was to bring this before the commissioners and ask for an investigation. At which time if the commission deems necessary professionals can be called to witness, as well as people suffering from the smart meters, and whatever else needs to be done.

Duke Energy accuses me of hearsay. My goal was to have the commission look at the facts, and every page has fact after fact from professionals in their field. If from day one documentation that I was asking for was provided, we would have not come thus far, and I am very grateful that you will be looking at the facts, many states have banned these meters, what all went into this, I can not say, that is why I am asking for an investigation as mentioned above.

Duke Energy would like me to file testimony that is more admissible. I have more documentation but it will consist of lots of articles that are separated and many in number. I included just enough to prove my point, respect the time of the commission as well as Duke Energy. When the documentation is looked at it includes all the main areas where Duke Energy is in violation of the law that they still have failed to address. Duke Energy brought up this is not North Korea and now they are offended by my statements. Sometimes a comparison tells a lot more than 10,000 words.

Duke Energy mentions "a hearing in this case is not necessary in the public interest or for the protection of substantial rights." Why then are entire states rising up against smart meters all over the world and in many states. Why are so many people in South Carolina speaking out, writing letters, and so many are

talking about this issue? This is one of the most important issues that is concerning the public right now! There are so many elderly on fixed incomes that don't know who to talk to or where to turn, so they call the utilities complaining against the smart meter and that they can't be in their bedrooms because their conditions immediately get worse, with a response to stay at least 6 feet from the meter. Number one that is an admission of guilt (this can be found in my 800 page report closer to the beginning) and two this has everything to do with protection of human rights (Duke Energy refers to substantial rights). There are testimonies from successful doctors who had to eventually stop practicing and could not be anywhere in society because smart meters are everywhere. Why doesn't Duke Energy address these issues. Please notice that they have not touched anything relating to them breaking the law.

In my opinion this is why we have the police, the FBI, businesses have third parties to mediate conflicts so that it does not cost them an arm and a leg. And this is why after complaining to the Regulatory Staff, having a conversation with Dawn Hipp, Brad Kirby on several occasions, I am now bringing this matter to your attention.

Duke energy writes "a witness may not testify to a matter unless evidence is introduced sufficient to support a finding that the witness has personal knowledge of the matter." I have personally spoken with scientists from around the world including David Carpenter, and these individuals provided me with their research. I have personally spoken with people that have suffered severe consequences from these meters. I did not include written testimony from these people, and if the commission decides that they will look further into this matter then can people be brought in that have been harmed and know this matter that can be questioned. I know this subject well enough to both show scientific, written testimonials that this technology is not all all grounded like the analog meters and hence all the fires and CEO Robert Watson resigned after an investigation into smart meter fires. SaskPower ordered 105,000 to be removed. These are facts and you can not argue with facts. My report has a lot more facts. Duke Energy can address this, complain about that, but can you please address the facts.

I agree that I am not lawyer, but I have a right to a product/service that will not negatively impact my health, that will not explode, that does not cause dirty electricity in my home, that is well grounded, that my personal information stays personal (right now there is no telling how many people have access to when I rise, go to bed, take a shower and much, much, more). There are more arguments in my report and they are backed up with facts.

Duke Energy states ..." has not established that he has any personal knowledge of any of the subjects, discussed in his filing, and as such, the materials that were filed are in admissible in this proceeding." Let's start at the beginning, when Duke Energy will discuss their violations of the law. All that I see is one technicality after another. The reason why we are gathered is to discuss first off why Duke Energy is breaking the law of the United States Government found in the constitution, and other places, than the laws of South Carolina. I have studied the facts and in doing so have submitted a report not only in my own words but from specialists from around the world, and injured people whose lives have been devastated and like many say that years have been stolen from their lives.

Duke Energy says "opinions or inferences are limited to those opinions or inferences which are rationally based on the perception of the witness (b) are helpful to the understanding of the witness testimony or the

determination of fact in an issue... Everything that I brought up was because of my knowledge of the subject, since proof is needed, I used articles and other people's professional expertise to back up what I initially knew and had knowledge of. How about we ask Duke Energy to explain why an inferior product, that harms people's health, that blows up, that is not grounded is one lawful, next is a better idea for the residents of South Carolina. I can't not see how Duke Energy can be getting away with all this and more when it is clearly documented. That is the reason why I humbly ask the commission to investigate this matter much deeper than I can being one individual. I ask that the commission have Duke Energy now answer to you, as you clearly see that they refuse to answer any of my questions.

Duke energy writes "witnesses may not offer hearsay testimony, ie., statements made by other declarants offered to support or to prove the truth of the matter asserted." I made my own statements on why Duke Energy was breaking the law. To this day, they have failed to provide any documentation to support their argument, failed to answer my questions before the commission, and when that process will start I do not know. They are basically accusing me of not having my ducks in line, but yet all they can do is point fingers at Alex. Who is acting professionally, I will let the public reading this and the commission decide. This is done to all customers not just Alex. The second to last head of the FCC was an executive in the telecom industry, and as they say a fox is guarding the hen house. The corruption started at the very top, and hence all the scientists wrote letters to the FCC to evaluate their standards. This is why it is imperative that our state look into those standards and if they cause harm to human life like the scientists prove with their research then action needs to be taken.


I am beyond surprised how much Duke Energy can defend themselves without addressing one point of my argument. It is beyond me how many moves they are making on the chess board and not one thing has been accomplished, or said to prove that they are producing clean safe power along with all my other arguments. And without addressing one issue, at every turn they point fingers my way. How about we talk about the issues? How about we become professionals for once? Please hear my complaint and see things as they are. I am asking for a full investigation into this matter, this was my initial goal.

Duke Energy wants my testimony stricken from the record, please note they have failed up to today to address any of my points. I agree I have limited knowledge in law, the way professional complaints are put together, and may be a few other things. I humbly ask you to accept my complaint with the many errors that it has. Duke Energy may want someone that is a genius that knows and works in all fields. Those people do not exist, we are all limited with twenty four hours in our day. I did my best, I understand things may have been phrased wrong, there may have been grammatical mistakes, please understand this.

Congressmen question executives and others, and maybe if the commission asked Duke Energy the questions I am asking they may reply. Please see the facts, I brought this before you, and I am very grateful that you voted to hear this matter, and now that you have heard from myself and very many professionals. I pray that God can give you the guidance as you vote on this issue, and my hope just like many others that are sick today and may not even know where to go for help regarding issues caused by the meter, you will think of these individuals as well as all of us that are being affected daily to a certain degree. And do what is right before God, before citizens of South Carolina, and this Great country.

I can not thank you enough, for being so patient with me, so hearing me out, and for the many people in South Carolina that have been so friendly and helpful. Thank you, thank you, thank you, from the bottom of my heart.

With all respect,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to be 'Alex', written in a cursive style.

Alex